

## I would not have tolerated censorship from Pshad

"Trinity's own attacks on Oppenheimer" January 26, is a broad one unsupported by the facts in Rosenbergs' report.

As with my "Redburn" biography, I invited comments, accepting the rights and opinions of all. In both books, I am writing of people I "knew."

Michael George Pshad, Director

"knowing" nothing, we would have tolerated any such censorship.

The Oppenheimer changes were made because, in my own judgment, they helpfully distinguished the wrong "declassification" President's " " shared national views on that history.

Clinton Anderson was the in-

fluencing agreed changes.

That is not censorship. In censorship, the subject attempts to suppress the will and judgment of the writer.

Clinton says tried to do that over years of her changes I rejected. But Pshad and Anderson did. — Ronald Russell Roberts, Long View